

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,573	,573 10/24/2003		Takanori Isozaki	244333US0	6951
22850	7590	05/23/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE	•	MCCLELLAND, 1	VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1732	

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/691,573	ISOZAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2006.						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-35</u> is/are rejected.	_						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
		Evaminer					
) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		* *					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· •					
•	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of 10/11/1 10-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

1.Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 35 is already set forth in claim 18 and hence is indefinite for failing to further limit claim 18.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Racich et al in view of Sanefuji et al for reasons of record as set forth in paragraph 1 of the previous office action.

3.Applicant's arguments filed March 9, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While the stretching disclosed at column 2 of the primary reference may indeed be that of hot air stretching, applicant is referred to col. 3, line 53 through col. 4, line 56 as generally set forth in the first office action. Stretching in an aqueous boric acid bath is clearly disclosed therein, with a velocity into/out of the bath being .3 and .42 m/min and a residence time in the bath of 3.4 min, which would mean that the distance the polarizer travels through the bath during the stretching is somewhere on the order of 1 meter—ie, speed x time. See column 4, lines 14-31 in particular. It is respectfully submitted that the primary reference, when taken in combination with that

taught in the secondary reference, would have rendered the instant claims obvious for reasons already noted.

4.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christine Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,573 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot May 19, 2006 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

5/19/06